

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/978,457	10/16/2001	Joseph J. Chang	56301P579D	5126
7590 08/11/2004			EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON AND EVANS			THOMPSON, KATHRYN L	
2700 CAREW CINCINNAIT.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3763	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

let

Advisory Action	
-----------------	--

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/978,457	CHANG, JOSEPH J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kathryn L Thompson	3763	

THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee unde 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	he
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	nt
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	!
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
KYS.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

⁻⁻The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Chang's oval-shaped member cannot be "a crimp on the needle." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant argues that the crimp moves with the needle shaft, but does not disclose this information in the claims. The claims simply state that the irregularity in the needle cannula shaft circumference is a crimp. Nowhere in the claims does Appliant describe the crimp as moveable with the needle shaft. In the drawings of Chang it is clearly seen that once the needle is in the tip protector, there is an irregularity in the needle shaft circumference, and thus there is a crimp. Applicant does not claim specifics to the crimp, such as its presence on the needle shaft when the needle is not inserted in the tip protector. Thus, in her broadest interpretation of the claims, Examiner maintains her rejection, that Chang does indeed have an irregularity in the needle shaft circumference (crimp). Applicant further argues that the oval-shaped member in Change is labeled as reference number 17. Examiner respectfully disagrees. If read more carefully, Applicant will see that 17 is referring the the narrow portion of the tip member (Column 5, Lines 19-34).

XXX

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700